A checklist for HTA reports

Reference of report	
Reference or report	Puñal Riobóo J, de la Fuente Cid R, López-García M. Safety and effectiveness of Bioresorvable Vascular Scaffold (BVS) for the treatment of de novo coronary artery disease. Santiago de Compostela: Axencia de Avaliación de Tecnoloxías Sanitarias de Galicia, avalia-t; 2013. Report No.: avalia-t 2013/04. Available from: http://www.sergas.es/Docs/Avalia-t/avalia-t201304StentsBVS.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Janet Puñal Riobóo
Email	avalia-t@sergas.es

14							Yes	Part	lv	No	
Item							163	ı art	· · y	140	
Preliminary								1			
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							X				
2. Authors identified?							X				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							X				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?5. Short summary in non-technical language?							X				
5. Short summar	y in non-	technical	language?							Х	
Why?								1	-		
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								X			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Χ	X			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							Χ				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							Χ				
How?											
10. Details on so	urces of	information	on and literature	search	strategies p	rovide	d?				
Search	Datal	oases	Year	Language		F	Primary		Other kind of		
strategy			range		restriction		data		information resources		
YES	YI	≣S	YES	YES NO					/ES		
Complete refere			List of		Inclusion			Exc	Exclusion		
		uded studies		criteria			criteria		ı		
X			X		YES			YES			
			sessment and int								
Method of data extraction described?		Critical appraisal method (for quality		Method of data synthesis described			Results of the assessme				
			sment of the	synthesis described		beu :	clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence				
		literatur	e) described?				tables?				
NO			YES	ES NO			YES				
Context?											
12. Information of	n contex	t (may or i	may not apply to ea	ach HT	A).						
(Medico-) legal		conomic		Ethical		Social		Other perspectives			
implications considered?		nalysis ovided?		implications considered?		implications considered?		(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?			
NO	NO NO		NO		NO		NO				
What then?							Yes	Part	ly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							Х				
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							Х				
15. Suggestions for further action?							Χ				